In Re:

DE 13-298 RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVE PROGRAM FOR C & I BULK FUEL-FED WOOD PELLET CENTRAL HEATING SYSTEMS

> Public Comment Hearing June 3, 2016

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR 30 James Pollock Drive Manchester, New Hampshire 03102 (603) 622-0068 or (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

> Original File 060316 DE13-298.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

	CENTRAL HE	TING SYSTEMS Page 3	
1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	1 PROCEEDINGS CHAIDMAN HONICREPC: Cood afternoon	
3		2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good afternoon,	
4		3 everyone. Please be seated. We're here in	
5	June 3, 2016 - 2:13 P.M.	4 Docket DE 13-298, Renewable Energy Incentive	
6	Concord, New Hampshire	5 Program for Commercial and Industrial Bulk-Fed	
7		6 Wood Pellet Central Heating Systems. The Staff	
8	RE: DE 13-298	7 filed a Memorandum on May 27th, 2016,	
9	RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVE PROGRAM FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL BULK FUEL-FED	8 recommending temporary increases and applicable	
10	WOOD PELLET CENTRAL HEATING SYSTEMS	9 incentive levels and a number of other	
11	Public Comment Hearing	10 substantive modifications. We scheduled this	
		11 public comment hearing for people to provide	
12	PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding	12 input on Staff's recommendation. We will also	
13	Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey	13 be accepting written comments through	
14		14 June 10th, 2016. Before turning to the list of	
15	Sandy Deno, Clerk	15 public commenters, I will ask Mr. Wiesner to	
16		16 briefly set the scene for us.	
17	APPEARANCES:	17 MR. WIESNER: Thank you, Mr.	
18	Reptg. Commission Staff - Sustainable Energy Division:	18 Chairman. I'm Dave Wiesner, Staff attorney.	
19	David K. Wiesner, Esq. Barbara Bernstein	19 With me today are Barbara Bernstein, Karen	
20	Karen Cramton	20 Crampton and Tanya Wayland, all with the	
21	Tanya Wayland	21 Sustainable Energy Division.	
22		22 As you noted, Staff is proposing	
23	COURT REPORTER: SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR NO. 44	23 a package of modifications to the commercial	
24		24 and industrial rebate program for wood pellet	
		{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}		
-	Page 2	Page 4	
1	Page 2 INDEX	Page 4 1 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for	
2	-		
2 3	-	1 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for	
2 3 4	-	1 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for2 this is our observation that the industry has	
2 3	INDEX	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of 	
2 3 4	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the 	
2 3 4 5 6	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on 	
2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7 Dick Henry - HotZero 8	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7 Dick Henry - HotZero 8 Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet 14	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7 Dick Henry - HotZero 8 Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet 14 Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy 20	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7 Dick Henry - HotZero 8 Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet 14 Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy 20 Ted Fountain - New Day Energy 27	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX OPENING REMARK BY: PAGE David Wiesner PUBLIC COMMENTS BY: Dutch Dresser - Maine Energy Systems 7 Joe Short - Northern Forest Center 7 Dick Henry - HotZero 8 Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet 14 Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy 20 Ted Fountain - New Day Energy 27 Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute 30	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 1 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for 2 this is our observation that the industry has 3 slowed down considerably. The number of 4 applications that Staff has received for the 5 rebate program has dropped considerably over 6 the past year, and we believe, based on 7 discussions with stakeholders, that this is 8 largely due to the drop in oil prices, which 9 makes the wood pellet systems not appear as 10 competitive as they might otherwise be, and 11 that has depressed the market considerably. As 12 a result, Staff is proposing what we've 13 characterized as a "temporary" increase in the 14 incentive level up to 40 percent of the total 15 system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. 16 We've characterized this as "temporary," as I 17 said. There is no mechanism for it to be 18 automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset 19 date specified. However, it is our expectation 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 1 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for 2 this is our observation that the industry has 3 slowed down considerably. The number of 4 applications that Staff has received for the 5 rebate program has dropped considerably over 6 the past year, and we believe, based on 7 discussions with stakeholders, that this is 8 largely due to the drop in oil prices, which 9 makes the wood pellet systems not appear as 10 competitive as they might otherwise be, and 11 that has depressed the market considerably. As 12 a result, Staff is proposing what we've 13 characterized as a "temporary" increase in the 14 incentive level up to 40 percent of the total 15 system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. 16 We've characterized this as "temporary," as I 17 said. There is no mechanism for it to be 18 automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset 19 date specified. However, it is our expectation 20 that Staff would consider to monitor market 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset date specified. However, it is our expectation that Staff would consider to monitor market conditions and keep an eye on oil prices, and 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset date specified. However, it is our expectation that Staff would consider to monitor market conditions and keep an eye on oil prices, and 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset date specified. However, it is our expectation that Staff would consider to monitor market conditions and keep an eye on oil prices, and if the market conditions change in a material way because oil prices tick up again, to come 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset date specified. However, it is our expectation that Staff would consider to monitor market conditions and keep an eye on oil prices, and 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEXOPENING REMARK BY:PAGEDavid WiesnerPUBLIC COMMENTS BY:PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Joe Short - Northern Forest Center7Dick Henry - HotZero8Charlie Niebling - N.E. Wood Pellet14Jim Van Valkenburgh - Froling Energy20Ted Fountain - New Day Energy27Laura Richardson - Jordan Institute30Dick Henry - HotZero33	 furnaces and boilers. The primary driver for this is our observation that the industry has slowed down considerably. The number of applications that Staff has received for the rebate program has dropped considerably over the past year, and we believe, based on discussions with stakeholders, that this is largely due to the drop in oil prices, which makes the wood pellet systems not appear as competitive as they might otherwise be, and that has depressed the market considerably. As a result, Staff is proposing what we've characterized as a "temporary" increase in the incentive level up to 40 percent of the total system cost, to a maximum limit of \$65,000. We've characterized this as "temporary," as I said. There is no mechanism for it to be automatically reduced, nor is there any sunset date specified. However, it is our expectation that Staff would consider to monitor market conditions and keep an eye on oil prices, and if the market conditions change in a material way because oil prices tick up again, to come 	

1 decrease in the incentive level to reflect1MR. DRESSER: Dutch Dresser, N2 those changed market conditions.2 Energy Systems. My remarks will be ex3In this case, as contrasted with4 the residential program, there are no fuel4 minutes ago.	
2 those changed market conditions.2 Energy Systems. My remarks will be ex3 In this case, as contrasted with3 brief. They haven't changed much from	Maine
3 In this case, as contrasted with 3 brief. They haven't changed much from	
I minutes ugo.	
5 storage rebate adders being proposed. However, 5 I want to endorse the	
 6 in this program, at least for the larger 6 recommendations of Staff. I think they' 	'ro
7 systems, 200,000 Btu per hour and above, the 7 right on target. Once again, I find RECs 7 hour particularly long torm yoluge to the	
8 Staff is proposing that those larger systems be 8 have particularly long-term value to the	
9 required to become eligible for thermal RECs. 9 industry, so I heartily endorse the notion	
10 And in order to assist those installations in10 you support metering equipment to get it	
11 achieving that goal, Staff is proposing that11 people able to take advantage of the RE	
12 there be a \$5,000 per system adder to assist in12 to sort of preempt the question. I would	
13 the installation of thermal metering equipment13 the addition of processed dried chips in	the
14 that would comply with the 2500 rules for14 name of equity. Thank you.	
15 thermal REC heat measurement and verification.15CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That	ank you,
16As with the residential program,16Mr. Dresser.	
17we heard from certain stakeholders that we17Joe Short.	
18 should consider opening up the eligible fuel 18 MR. SHORT: Joe Short, Vice-pre	esident
19 sources to include processed dried chips in 19 with the Northern Forest Center. And ra	ather
20 addition to fuel wood pellets. And at this 20 than repeat everything I said earlier, I'll	
21 time, Staff is not proposing that the 21 submit written comment to that effect and	
22 eligibility standards be changed to permit 22 say a few things here.	C C
23 chips in addition to pellets, primarily, again, 23 We are supportive of the Staff	's
24 because of the interest in continuing 24 recommendations to temporarily increase	
{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16} {DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {	
Page 6	Page 8
i age o	i age o
1 development of the fuel pellet industry, and, 1 rebate for these commercial and industry	rial
2 in particular, its distribution networks, and 2 systems, require the larger systems be	
3 the concern that letting other fuels become 3 certified as REC-eligible. And like	
4 eligible would perhaps compromise that goal. 4 Mr. Dresser, I think the metering rebate	e adder
5 And, as with the emission excuse me as 5 is a great idea and one that will be great	tly
6 with the residential program, Staff is also6 beneficial. We support the changes to the	he
7 proposing that the particulate matter emissions 7 emission standards as well. And we'd ju	ust make
8 standards be lowered to make the standards more 8 the observation that, again, in this clima	ate of
o standards be rowered to make the standards more of the observation that, again, in this chilla	
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these line 	larger
	-
9 strict, in line with what is in place in9 tough sell for these systems, that these line	ial
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 9 tough sell for these systems, that these line systems have particular value as potential 	ial
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 9 tough sell for these systems, that these l 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 	ial lumes of
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 9 tough sell for these systems, that these line systems have particular value as potentiin anchor users because they use larger volume to the system in our own 	ial lumes of e momentum
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 	ial lumes of e momentum So,
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these lines is that 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 9 tough sell for these systems, that these lines is that 10 systems have particular value as potential 11 anchor users because they use larger volong is that 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more interesting is that 14 on this side than on the residential side. 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, these 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these line systems, that these line systems have particular value as potentianed in the systems have particular value as potenti	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, these 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se portant.
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 18 have for now. 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, thes 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 18 have for now. 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se portant.
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 18 have for now. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, thes 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 	ial Jumes of e momentum So, t this se portant. xt speaker is
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 18 have for now. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 20 have six people who have indicated they want to 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potenti 11 anchor users because they use larger vol 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, these 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 20 Dick Henry. 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se portant. xt speaker is hairman,
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 18 have for now. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 20 have six people who have indicated they want to 21 speak, and one indicates that maybe she'll 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potentii 11 anchor users because they use larger volocities in our own 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more 14 on this side than on the residential side. 15 as you're looking for strategy to support 16 industry through this difficult time, these 17 commercial rebates are particularly imp 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 20 Dick Henry. 21 MR. HENRY: Thank you, Mr. Ch 	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se portant. xt speaker is hairman, name is Dick
 9 strict, in line with what is in place in 10 Massachusetts, and that represents a decrease 11 from the current level of .32 pounds per 12 million Btu's to .1. Our understanding is that 13 most of the equipment that's sold, that would 14 be eligible for a rebate here, can easily meet 15 this standard. And we believe this is the 16 appropriate time to lower the standard and 17 reflect that reality. I think that's all I 18 have for now. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We 20 have six people who have indicated they want to 21 speak, and one indicates that maybe she'll 22 speak. We'll circle back to her. So we'll 9 tough sell for these systems, that these I 10 systems have particular value as potentii 11 anchor users because they use larger vologitate in our own 12 pellets. And we have seen in our own 13 experience continued momentum, or more indicated they want to 21 MR. HENRY: Thank you, Mr. Chi 22 and members of the Commission. My represented to the commission. My represented to the standard and members of the Commission. My represented to the commission. My represented to the commission. My represented to the standard and members of the Commission. My represented to the standard to the commission. My represented to the standard to the standard to the commission. My represented to the standard to the commission. My represented to the standard to the stand	ial lumes of e momentum So, t this se portant. xt speaker is hairman, name is Dick hot

	e age e	Page 11
1	would be most helpful in that effort. And its	1 So, this rebate not only would
	initial objective, as I understand it, was to	2 help suppliers, but it would also help push the
	try and improve one of its objectives was to	3 technology on the drying side to go further.
	improve the trucking capability of a	4 As has been mentioned before,
	distribution system around the state. And I	5 there's a real interaction between the
	think it's obvious that the energy density of	6 commercial, industrial and residential markets.
	the fuel is related to how far you can truck	7 And so the oil industry has been doing this for
	it. So, pellets are relatively energy dense;	8 years, where I am told an oil delivery truck
	green chips, at 48 percent-plus moisture, are	9 goes out, makes all its residential deliveries
	not so dense and very heavy. So, one of the	10 and still has 200 gallons left in the tank, it
	innovations that has taken place since this	11 will go to the local high school and top off
	rebate was initially implemented is the	12 the 6,000- or 10,000-gallon high school tank
	creation of dry chips, which by definition are	13 and then doesn't have to deadhead back with
	dried down to 30 percent moisture or less. And	14 fuel. Then, if there's an emergency and I'm
	-	
	this is a relatively new market, but it's being further encouraged by the fact that many of the	15 not quite so sure about this. But if there's a
	further encouraged by the fact that many of the	16 real emergency and someone's run out of oil and17 there's a truck nearby, if he needs to, he can
	larger, more sophisticated boilers have the capability of burning both pellets and/or dried	18 go take some of that oil back from the high
		с
	chips. The advantage of a dried chip is that	19 school tank and make that emergency delivery.
	it's about at the moment, the current market	20 So it makes a much more resilient delivery
	is about 37 percent less expensive per million	21 system for the whole complex. So, potentially,
	Btu's than pellets; so, pellets are going for	22 that same situation might emerge for dry chips.
	about \$240 a ton with 16.4 million Btu's, and	23 And again, truck size lends
24	dried chips going for about \$120 a ton with	24 itself to less trucking costs. So, many of the
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}
	Page 10	Page 12
	i ugo i o	
	-	
	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really	1 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take
2	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because
2 3	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small
2 3 4	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost
2 3 4 5	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know,
2 3 4 5 6	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips,
2 3 4 5 6 7	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations.	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be utilized the following fall. Every percentage	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, we would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market. So, again, I really encourage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be utilized the following fall. Every percentage of water that you can dry out of that chip is a	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market. So, again, I really encourage you to not choose the technology, but choose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be utilized the following fall. Every percentage of water that you can dry out of that chip is a good thing, in terms of, again, increasing the	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market. So, again, I really encourage you to not choose the technology, but choose the performance, which is, you know, 30 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be utilized the following fall. Every percentage of water that you can dry out of that chip is a good thing, in terms of, again, increasing the energy density for shipping and giving the	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market. So, again, I really encourage you to not choose the technology, but choose the performance, which is, you know, 30 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13-plus million Btu's. So, this is a really interesting development that the boiler technology can now accept and could potentially expand the market and reduce the operating costs of these larger boilers that are being used in schools, district energy systems and large industrial situations. So, I'm here to speak to expanding the rebate to include dried chips at 30 percent more because I think this is going to drive this market and develop more innovative drying systems. So, right now when we dry a chip, we're using a boiler often run on wood chips itself that tries to dry the chip down in two days. But we're storing a lot of these chips for three or four or five months during the summer, and the potential here is to use solar thermal energy to further dry the chip as it's sitting there waiting to be utilized the following fall. Every percentage of water that you can dry out of that chip is a good thing, in terms of, again, increasing the	 pellet deliverers have trucks that can take 16 tons or less for domestic deliveries because they're small and can get in and out of small trucks. But it's a heck of a lot more cost effective to be able to deliver, you know, 30 tons in a trailer truck. So, dry chips, again, lend themselves to delivering larger trucks to central systems. And in our case, where, you know, we were developing a district energy system for a local mill town, you know, twe would have put in four of these 1250-kilowatt boilers to serve the whole downtown area, and it would have been very, very helpful if, in addition to the T RECs, we had some kind of a rebate to help with that initial expenditure, because even one of those 1250-kilowatt boilers cost \$350,000 apiece. So this would help the Cap X, and I think it would significantly expand the market. So, again, I really encourage you to not choose the technology, but choose the performance, which is, you know, 30 percent

Min-U-Script®

	Fage 13		Fage 13
1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner	1	level. I agree with the Staff that an
	Scott has a question.		additional fuel storage rebate adder is not
3	CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. Presuming		necessary for commercial and industrial systems
	we were to adopt the more stringent emissions		because, almost by definition, they're
	requirements, and presuming we were to move		installing systems that can take a full
	1 1 0		
	beyond just wood pellets, you were very		truckload, quantity deliveries. They don't
	particular in your talk to us to say dry chips.		need any extra assistance.
8	MR. HENRY: Correct.	8	With respect to the requirement
9	CMSR. SCOTT: Do we really need to be		that systems over 200,000 Btu be required to be
	that particular with that emission standard?		certified as eligible for participation in the
11	Because my guess would be a wet wood chip would	11	RPS thermal REC program, I guess I'm generally
12	not meet that requirement.	12	supportive of that. But I will say I do hope
13	MR. HENRY: Well, that's a good	13	that, if and when the Commission reopens the
14	point. And you made one of the points that I		PUC 2500 rules, it will revisit the question of
	forgot to make in my talk, which was, the drier		what is a small system and what is a large
	the chip, the more easy the emissions controls		system, and where is that drawing line, and
	and less expensive the emissions controls can		also revisit the stringency of its requirements
	be. I think, for the time being, you're		around metering for small systems. Metering is
	probably right. But I think there's a much		a when the rules were being written, it was
	larger green chip market out there that is		sort of an amorphous concept. And as we've
	pretty well developed. And what needs the		come to understand the technical complexities
	incentive right now is these chips of		of metering, the cost of metering, the cost of
	30 percent or less. And the thing about the		stamped New Hampshire engineer certification of
24	green chip market is there's no value added	24	the meters, which is required by the PUC 2500
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}		{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}
	Page 14		Page 16
	there. You know, that's just coming out of the		rules, what we've come to learn is that the
2	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of	2	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements
2 3	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean,	2 3	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful
2 3 4	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after	2 3 4	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS
2 3 4	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean,	2 3 4	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful
2 3 4 5	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after	2 3 4 5	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS
2 3 4 5 6	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the	2 3 4 5	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's.
2 3 4 5 6 7	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product	2 3 4 5 6 7	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have,	2 3 6 7 8 9 10	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Charles Niebling, partner with Innovative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent monitor costs. And for a lot of these smaller
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Charles Niebling, partner with Innovative Natural Resource Solutions, representing New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent monitor costs. And for a lot of these smaller system owners, it's a rather intimidating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Charles Niebling, partner with Innovative Natural Resource Solutions, representing New England Wood Pellet today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent monitor costs. And for a lot of these smaller system owners, it's a rather intimidating process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Charles Niebling, partner with Innovative Natural Resource Solutions, representing New England Wood Pellet today. I want to support the Staff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent monitor costs. And for a lot of these smaller system owners, it's a rather intimidating process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there. You know, that's just coming out of the woods and going to the boiler coming out of the woods, coming out of the chipper. I mean, some chips are delivered six hours after they're chipped. And it seemed to me the intent of this was to get a value-added product that would help improve the distribution system. And the distribution system for green chips right now is, you know, pretty well developed and doesn't need the help. And I think, given the limited funds that you have, it would be more advantageous to put the rebate dollars into these value-added products rather than a green chip. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Next speaker is Charlie Niebling. MR. NIEBLING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Charles Niebling, partner with Innovative Natural Resource Solutions, representing New England Wood Pellet today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rules, what we've come to learn is that the combined effect of all those requirements basically precludes any meaningful participation by smaller systems in the RPS Thermal REC Program, and that dividing line is considerably higher than 200,000 Btu's. So there's a whole, sort of "no man's land" of projects that the incentive just is not sufficient enough to offset the administrative and compliance costs. That's an issue for another day, but it's relevant to this whole question because you're saying now that you have to be REC-eligible. Doesn't mean you have to sell your RECs, but you have to be REC-eligible, which means you have to go through the whole certification process, even with the adder for the meters, which is tremendously helpful. You've got the engineers' costs, you got the independent monitor costs. And for a lot of these smaller system owners, it's a rather intimidating process.

- · · F	ige 17 Page	
1 school district in the middle of nowhere, it's	1 comments. You mentioned the potential for dry	
2 quite an undertaking to get approved by the	2 chips to be pneumatically delivered. It raised	
3 PUC.	3 a question in my mind: Can the same,	
5 why don't you direct your comments to us rathe		
6 than Staff.	6 MR. NIEBLING: The gentleman from	
7 MR. NIEBLING: I'm sorry. My	7 Froling Energy may be able to speak to that	
8 apologies. Thank you for that reminder.	8 based on direct company experience. I can't	
9 So, that's my comment on that.	9 answer that.	
10 And I do support comments made	10 CMSR. SCOTT: He's shaking his head	
11 by the previous speaker with respect to	11 "yes," but I'll wait for him to talk. No?	
12 extending qualification of the commercial and	12 Yes, maybe, I think. Thank you.	
13 industrial rebate to refined dry chips.	13 And my other question was, I was	
14 Another speaker may be able to speak to this,	14 a little bit unclear where you landed on the	
15 but I believe the acronym "PDC" is trademarke		
16 and I would avoid using it to describe this	16 you supporting it? Or were you saying there's	
17 product. I would come up with a more generic	17 a hole here, and perhaps we don't do it? I	
18 term for the product or for the particular	18 didn't quite get your punch line.	
19 dried, refined chip fuel.	19 MR. NIEBLING: I believe it's	
20 And one reason to Mr. Scott's	20 appropriate for the PUC to require recipients	
21 question to Commissioner Scott's question, I	21 of commercial and industrial rebates to take	
22 think it makes sense to draw a line between	22 steps to qualify their systems for REC	
23 refined dry chip and just conventional chips or	23 eligibility. That fulfills the purpose of	
24 green chips, is that dry chips can be delivered	24 362-F and the intent behind the Renewable	
24 green emps, is that dry emps can be derivered	24 502 T and the ment bennit the Renewable	
{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	
	Dage 18	20
F	ge 18 Page	20
F 1 pneumatically. They can be delivered through	Page 18 Page 1 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you	20
		20
pneumatically. They can be delivered throughtruck technology that is comparable in many	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. 	20
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power plants are burning. 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power plants are burning. 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power plants are burning. The emission standard, I honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first manufacturers of what we call "PDC." It's a 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry ch 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power plants are burning. The emission standard, I honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry ch 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry ch 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry ch 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 20 effective dividing line right there. Thank 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, where you did not require having a big, 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not necessarily be the same truck, but the technology does exist to deliver that fuel pneumatically into silo storage, similar to pellet storage. So I think that's another justification for making a distinction between a 30-percent moisture content chip and a conventional chip, such as the type of fuel that bigger schools and the wood-fired power plants are burning. The emission standard, I honestly don't know whether these semi-dry ch boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu without an electrostatic precipitator or other significant state-of-the-art back-in controls or not. Perhaps another speaker can address that question as well. But that may be an effective dividing line right there. Thank 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first manufacturers of what we call "PDC." It's a dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, where you did not require having a big, expensive infrastructure, such as when you 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 20 effective dividing line right there. Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commission 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, where you did not require having a big, expensive infrastructure, such as when you deliver with a semi-truck that has to drop it 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 20 effective dividing line right there. Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commission 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, where you did not require having a big, expensive infrastructure, such as when you off in a pit and so forth, like your typical 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 20 effective dividing line right there. Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commission 	 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you need to raise that to half a million Btu, 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above which the administrative and compliance costs to participate in the program justify the or are offset by the revenue potential from the program, and below that it's a stretch. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll get the cliff hanger answered right up front. MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept of the dried chip was to be able to blow them into a building and have an interior storage area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some other integrated structure, in a different way, where you did not require having a big, expensive infrastructure, such as when you deliver with a semi-truck that has to drop it 	
 pneumatically. They can be delivered through truck technology that is comparable in many respects to the pellet technology. It may not 4 necessarily be the same truck, but the 5 technology does exist to deliver that fuel 6 pneumatically into silo storage, similar to 7 pellet storage. So I think that's another 8 justification for making a distinction between 9 a 30-percent moisture content chip and a 10 conventional chip, such as the type of fuel 11 that bigger schools and the wood-fired power 12 plants are burning. 13 The emission standard, I 14 honestly don't know whether these semi-dry chi 15 boilers can meet that .1 pounds per million Btu 16 without an electrostatic precipitator or other 17 significant state-of-the-art back-in controls 18 or not. Perhaps another speaker can address 19 that question as well. But that may be an 20 effective dividing line right there. Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commission 	 1 Energy Fund. I just think 200,000, I think you 2 need to raise that to half a million Btu, 3 150 KW. I think that's a dividing line above 4 which the administrative and compliance costs 5 to participate in the program justify the or 6 are offset by the revenue potential from the 7 program, and below that it's a stretch. 8 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The next 10 commenter is Jim Van Valkenburgh. Maybe we'll 11 get the cliff hanger answered right up front. 12 MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm with 13 Froling Energy. And we are, I'd say, the first 14 manufacturers of what we call "PDC." It's a 15 dry chip. And one of the bases of our concept 16 of the dried chip was to be able to blow them 17 into a building and have an interior storage 18 area, perhaps in a basement or perhaps in some 19 other integrated structure, in a different way, 20 where you did not require having a big, 21 expensive infrastructure, such as when you 22 deliver with a semi-truck that has to drop it 23 off in a pit and so forth, like your typical 24 green chip operations. We are 	

	CENTRAP age 24	Page 23		
4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 20 21 22 23	(Court Reporter interrupts.) MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: Anyway, so here we are with a we're following in the footsteps of northern Austria and other places in Europe where dry chips have really come into their own. And so we're in some ways just mimicking that and saw this opening in the market. We have four customers right now that are using these. Some of them utilize the rebate because they actually started out with pellets because the systems can utilize pellets. If you've got it set up for chips, you can also put pellets in that same system, with minor adjustments of the system. There's a plate that would have to be put into the silo. And these are sweeper-arm type of silos instead of just funnel-type silos. But that's a major distinction. And then another one is in the boiler settings itself. You just have to make sure that it is able to adjust itself for the moisture content.	 infrastructure, even the businesses themselves, had not thought about investing in this sort of thing. And then, when this stimulus package came along with the rebates, it was a very positive development for the whole pellet business, and we were very happy with that. It got us established to be able to even think of doing the dried chips. So, again, a great round of appreciation on good planning and good execution on this program. We like the idea that it's being expanded dollars-wise, and everything that you said here I agree with. I should say all the points that the Staff has recommended here, we recommend as well. And feel that a lot of the comments Charlie made here about the small boiler systems being really below 500,000, I tend to agree with that as well. But that's another debate. The idea of including dry chips in this program, I wouldn't want it to slow down the update of this funding, this increase in the funding, but I do like the idea, and I think it's a matter of defining things. 		
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}		
	Page 22	Dea		
		Pac	ne 24	
			ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future	1 We do have this product and	ge 24	
1	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we 	ge 24	
3	distinction might be interesting for future	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market 	ge 24	
3	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea 	ge 24	
2	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future e developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future e developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we want to think about. There's definitions that 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up.	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we want to think about. There's definitions that we want to be sure that we would cover and 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up.	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we want to think about. There's definitions that 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up. And my comment on the dry chips is we didn't expect people to be commenting positively on this, and we're very happy that	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we want to think about. There's definitions that we want to be sure that we would cover and really that we like 25 percent moisture. 	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up. And my comment on the dry chips is we didn't expect people to be commenting positively on this, and we're very happy that they are. We find this is an important fuel,	1 We do have this product and 2 Charlie's right. I don't know that we 3 necessarily have it trademarked, except that 4 just by example we've been out in the market 5 with this. But we do have the PDC idea 6 our idea is this is a defined product. It's 7 not just a chip that is something. It is a 8 number of definitional steps that we like to 9 take. And it's also quality control. We have 10 people on staff that are testing this, just 11 like they do at a pellet plant, just to make 12 sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to 13 come out when it gets to the site where it's 14 being burned. So that's something I think we 15 want to think about. There's definitions that 16 we want to be sure that we would cover and 17 really that we like 25 percent moisture. 18 That's where we find it's the most stable. But 19 we'll say under 30 percent is perfectly fine 20 for a generic description of the product. And	ge 24	
	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up. And my comment on the dry chips is we didn't expect people to be commenting positively on this, and we're very happy that they are. We find this is an important fuel, and we also see that it's at the point that	1 We do have this product and 2 Charlie's right. I don't know that we 3 necessarily have it trademarked, except that 4 just by example we've been out in the market 5 with this. But we do have the PDC idea 6 our idea is this is a defined product. It's 7 not just a chip that is something. It is a 8 number of definitional steps that we like to 9 take. And it's also quality control. We have 10 people on staff that are testing this, just 11 like they do at a pellet plant, just to make 12 sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to 13 come out when it gets to the site where it's 14 being burned. So that's something I think we 15 want to think about. There's definitions that 16 we want to be sure that we would cover and 17 really that we like 25 percent moisture. 18 That's where we find it's the most stable. But 19 we'll say under 30 percent is perfectly fine 20 for a generic description of the product. And 21 we'd like to we also re-chip or take in	ge 24	
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 16 15 20 21 22	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up. And my comment on the dry chips is we didn't expect people to be commenting positively on this, and we're very happy that they are. We find this is an important fuel, and we also see that it's at the point that pellets were not too many years ago, where	 We do have this product and Charlie's right. I don't know that we necessarily have it trademarked, except that just by example we've been out in the market with this. But we do have the PDC idea our idea is this is a defined product. It's not just a chip that is something. It is a number of definitional steps that we like to take. And it's also quality control. We have people on staff that are testing this, just like they do at a pellet plant, just to make sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to come out when it gets to the site where it's being burned. So that's something I think we want to think about. There's definitions that we want to be sure that we would cover and really that we like 25 percent moisture. That's where we find it's the most stable. But we'll say under 30 percent is perfectly fine for a generic description of the product. And we'd like to we also re-chip or take in chips. But we take in chips and then chip them 	ge 24	
2 3 4 5 5 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 20 21 22 23	distinction might be interesting for future developments where you might want to have a business that could chip either one. Some pellet boilers can burn the chips, but a lot of them cannot. They're smaller, and they're just not set up for that sort of thing. But it seems like most of the boilers in that 500,000 Btu range and up can burn a dry chip. And they burn them very efficiently, and they do meet the standard. The bigger you go from that area, it becomes a little more challenging for these boilers to meet that emission particulate criteria. But we do have boilers today that can do this, and we're installing them and very successfully operating them. So, I hope that clears that point up. And my comment on the dry chips is we didn't expect people to be commenting positively on this, and we're very happy that they are. We find this is an important fuel, and we also see that it's at the point that	1 We do have this product and 2 Charlie's right. I don't know that we 3 necessarily have it trademarked, except that 4 just by example we've been out in the market 5 with this. But we do have the PDC idea 6 our idea is this is a defined product. It's 7 not just a chip that is something. It is a 8 number of definitional steps that we like to 9 take. And it's also quality control. We have 10 people on staff that are testing this, just 11 like they do at a pellet plant, just to make 12 sure that it comes out the way it's supposed to 13 come out when it gets to the site where it's 14 being burned. So that's something I think we 15 want to think about. There's definitions that 16 we want to be sure that we would cover and 17 really that we like 25 percent moisture. 18 That's where we find it's the most stable. But 19 we'll say under 30 percent is perfectly fine 20 for a generic description of the product. And 21 we'd like to we also re-chip or take in	ge 24	

	CENTRAPage 25	Page 27
 really about the maximum size And that's because if we blow five-inch pipe a hundred feet, to be hung up by something the a ruler or something on that or to make sure that it does that. boilers themselves are not set sizes. So, this is a definition of there. It's a fuel product, just the pellets have defined themselved well. This is something that n So, to jump into it re quickly, we'd love to consult what we would define the marketplation much like to get involved in the point, again, I hate to see it slot particular program. I think that right now. I can't think of any So att 	e we like to see. 1 it into a 2 we don't want it 3 at looks more like 4 der. So we have 5 Plus, the 6 up to have odd 7 f a product 8 he way 9 es very, very 10 eeds to be done. 11 al 12 with you if you'd 13 PDCs are and how 14 ace. We'd very 15 at, and we'd do 16 s to. But at this 17 w down this 18 at's about it 19 other issues 20 ERG: Commissioner 22	 probably doesn't matter, as long as the boiler itself is able to deal with that. So if somebody could come up with a boiler that deals with bigger chips and does them well, I suppose we wouldn't care if that was the case. We're reacting to a very specific set of circumstances that we've been confronted with lately. And anything's possible. So I think you're right; under 30 percent might be a smart way to go. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Our next commenter, first name is Ted. Last name may be Fontino? MR. FOUNTAIN: How about a guy named Fountain? CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Fountain. MR. FOUNTAIN: Sorry about that, Chairman. Thank you, Chairman and Committee. Our company, New Day Energy, is a specialist alternative energy installation
23 Scott.	23	3 contractor. We specialize also in biomass
24 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank	you for your 24	a installations of modern pellet heating
{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT I	HEARING] {06-03-16}	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}
	Page 26	Page 28
 comments. I just want to hear I'm wondering, if we were to a chips, do we have to how in really be to define in detail wh given that I think what I've hea on the history of this program trying to incent the delivery sy we've already discussed betwee know you weren't at the 1:00 H meet the emission standards, w background, I don't believe we to meet the emission standards just wonder if something as sin "dried chips" would some sin may not be sufficient. I just have a sufficient on the sufficient of the spend a whole lot of time on b descriptive on what a chip is. curious as to your reaction to the sufficient. And ponto your definition. I thin kind of self-defining by your. 	your reaction. 1 dd dried wood 2 portant would it 3 at the chip is, 4 ard, and based 5 so far, we were 6 stem. I think 7 en now and I 8 tearing but to 9 with my 10 et chips are going 11 anall elaboration 14 ate to see us 15 eing very 16 But I'm just 17 hat. 18 JRGH: You're right. 19 king sure that 20 d we're pretty 21 k, again, it's 22 OI percent and 23	 equipment. I think I'd like to make the comment today that I concur, and our company endorses what the Staff has recommended to the Commission for the increase in the rebate program. Since our company's inception and involvement in the biomass industry, the rebate has been very helpful in the reluctance in the market to adopt these types of European technologies. So, as the oil prices I think were the key moving fact in us looking into an increase in the rebate, I'd like to just draw back to that in particular, in that the market tiself is somewhat reluctant to continue pushing ahead, residentially and commercially, with these adoption adopting of these systems because of the price of oil. So, an increase in the rebate value would be instrumental and very good for us to see a continuation of installations. And I think I like the comment made earlier, that these larger systems are anchor systems. You know, they use a larger volume of fuel, and so they provide a point in the map for other

		CENT N Page 29		Page 3	I
	1	installations nearby to look to. And so we	1	able to roll out some new marketing materials,	
		really think that continuing the process of		new announcements saying that, you know, hey,	
		seeing this equipment being adopted is going to		the rebate has gone up. And that's a way to	
		require the rebate to kind of follow suit with		get back on people's radars. I think that will	
		what the oil prices have done. So we think		be helpful for the market. So I applaud that	
		this is a really smart move to keep things	6	tremendously.	
	7	flowing and keep the waters from becoming	7	I also really like the idea of	
	8	murky, if you will, and slowing the boat down.	8	the financial support for the REC metering. I	
	9	I agree that the chips I'd	9	think that's a great idea, and that's part of	
	10	like to make this comment also, that the chips	10		
		themselves are a great addition, and I really	-	have. I do agree with Mr. Niebling and think	
		feel it's a great product, and I like that it's		that, you know, it is going to be really	
		÷ .			
		a defined product. But again, I would like to		difficult for a lot of the smaller systems to	
		just say that the idea of slowing down the		be REC-eligible, and that perhaps raising that	
		adoption of these Staff recommendations would		threshold a little bit to start out would be a	
		be, I don't know if "detrimental" is the right		good thing, and maybe in two years, or whenever	
2	17	word, but it would certainly slow down this	17	the Staff revisits this issue, that maybe at	
:	18	season. So we really see that the adoption of	18	that point they tighten things up a little	
	19	these rebate value increases and changes would	19	more, depending on how that metering equipment,	
		certainly make this season worthwhile. If we		how the aggregation services, the monitoring	
		had to wait too long, it would just be too		services, how all of that is maturing. But I	
		difficult. That's it. Thank you.		think it's good to really encourage that REC	
	23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Last person who		metering.	
		has signed the sheet is Laura Richardson, and			
	24	has signed the sheet is Laura Kichardson, and	24	I am a intre concerned about	
		{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}		{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	
H					
		Page 30		Page 32	2
		Page 30		Page 32	2
		she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you		quality control issues related to dried chips.	2
		she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak?	2	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I	2
	2 3	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you	2 3	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products	2
	2 3	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much.	2 3 4	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new	2
	2 3	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you	2 3 4	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products	2
	2 3 4 5	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new	2
	2 3 4 5	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you	2 3 4 5 6	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might.	2 3 4 5 6 7	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being	2
	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that.	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the	2 3 6 7 8 9 10	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two.	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This	2
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 11 15 16 17 18	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 11 2 3 11 12 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 11 12 111 12 11 12 11 12 11 11	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the cap. I do think that Staff is monitoring the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be terrific. I think a lot of projects would	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 112 14 15 16 17 120 201	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the cap. I do think that Staff is monitoring the situation with the fluctuating cost of fossil	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be terrific. I think a lot of projects would reconsider using these systems that have	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 112 14 15 16 17 120 201	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the cap. I do think that Staff is monitoring the situation with the fluctuating cost of fossil fuels, and this step is warranted at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be terrific. I think a lot of projects would reconsider using these systems that have currently shifted to some other kind of system.	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 112 14 15 16 17 120 201	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the cap. I do think that Staff is monitoring the situation with the fluctuating cost of fossil	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be terrific. I think a lot of projects would reconsider using these systems that have currently shifted to some other kind of system. So, those are my comments. I'm	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 1 15 1 16 7 18 9 20 21 22 2	she was the "maybe." Ms. Richardson, would you like to speak? MS. RICHARDSON: I would. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I thought you might. MS. RICHARDSON: Well, this is one of my favorite topics, so I'm delighted to share some insight. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and members of the Commission. My name is Laura Richardson. I'm the Executive Director of the Jordan Institute, and I've been tangentially involved in the pellet program since its inception back in the 2009-2010 time frame. So I do have some comments about the recent recommendations from the Staff and heartily support pretty much all of the content in their recommendation. I'm delighted about the 40 percent increase and the increase in the cap. I do think that Staff is monitoring the situation with the fluctuating cost of fossil fuels, and this step is warranted at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	quality control issues related to dried chips. And I'm not at all concerned about Froling. I know they do a terrific job with their products and the attention to detail. But when new market actors enter the scene, it may be really hard for Staff to oversee the quality control of what's really going on out there, as far as the systems, as far as the fuel that's being used and what ramifications may come of that. So it might be good just to sit and again revisit this question in a year or two. And I would concur with a number of other speakers, that, you know, let's move this along as quickly as possible. You have a tremendous workload in front of you this summer with a lot of really important dockets. This is right up there with them. Construction season is in full swing right now, and if this docket can be expedited, I think that would be terrific. I think a lot of projects would reconsider using these systems that have currently shifted to some other kind of system.	2

	CENTRAL HEA	Page 35		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you for considering them. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Ms. Richardson was the last person signed up to speak. Is there anyone else who would like to offer oral comments at this time? [No verbal response] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. If not Mr. Henry, I had a feeling maybe you couldn't resist. I was a little surprised when the hand didn't shoot up. Briefly, if you would like to continue. MR. HENRY: Very briefly, I just want to make a point about the 150-kilowatt systems and the T RECs. I think it's perfectly appropriate, as Mr. Dresser mentioned, in the residential market, that these systems qualify for T RECs. But if we had a simpler system, a la residential, to count the T RECs up to the 150-kilowatt system level, that would be easier. And I think the amounts of fuel that are being used are going to be comparatively small. And just basing the T RECs generated out of the value from the fuel consumed would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can help them along. So I think it's okay to have the RECs down to those lower levels. And I'm not sure if you need to loosen up the standard or not, as far as the metering itself. I'm not sure how you would do that in an effective way. Just one other thing on the impact of RECs. And I look at it in a real simple way: I like to compare everything right down to a gallon of fuel oil. And what I see is pellets right now are about \$2 a gallon on a typical burn basis. So, how many basically you say at the end of the year, how many gallons of oil would I have burned and how much dollar value of either pellets or chips did I burn, and I divide that by the number of gallons that that's equivalent to. And it ends up to be about \$1.50 a gallon when you've got RECs applied to pellets. And if you've got the PDCs, or the chips, at the \$120 a ton level, you actually are about \$1.38, \$1.40, say, without RECs, and with RECs, 80 cents a gallon. Very high impact information. And there's	
24	be a simple way to do that. Thank you.		not there's nobody buying oil at 80 cents a	
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}		{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}	
	Page 34		Page 36	
	-		-	
	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Anyone else who would like add anything? Yes, Mr. Van Valkenburgh.	2	gallon right now. And that's a New Hampshire fuel that can be produced in many sectors of this state by different companies. And it's	
4	MR. VAN VALKENBURGH: Yes, just on	4	just a matter of really getting that going, I	
	that point. We've put in some meters on one of		think. And again, those are for larger	
	Dutch's boilers as a matter of fact, two of them in the town of Hollis. And they went and		systems. Ten thousand gallons is the absolute minimum to justify the extra expenses, so we	
	bought the meters and so forth. But the meters		see. So, just know that most of ours are one	
	are basically the same, whether you've got a		million Btu's, two million Btu's and up with	
	giant system or a tiny system. So, that \$5,000		the dried chips.	
	on a commercial system is right on, I think.	11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to add anything at	
	You know, you might find some cheaper meters, but they need to be quality meters, and		there anyone else who wishes to add anything at this time?	
	somebody needs to verify them. I know you have	14	[No verbal response]	
15	a real need to have verification on this, and I	15	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll remind	
	wouldn't want you to short that. I'm not		everyone that we will take written comments	
	exactly sure if there's an easy way around it, but I will say that it is cost-effective in the	17 18	until the end of next week. Mr. Wiesner, you look like	
	end, especially if you pay for the meter.		you're pulling that microphone towards you.	
20	These people are getting volunteers or they're	20	MR. WIESNER: I apologize, Mr.	
0.1	doing manipus things. It's a many confinging	21	Chairman. I just wanted to note that during	
	doing various things. It's a very confusing	c -	the residential bearing and beard1. f-11-	
22	process to get established into RECs. But on		the residential hearing we heard a couple folks make an argument that there ought to be a	
22 23		23	the residential hearing we heard a couple folks make an argument that there ought to be a retroactive effect for the rebate incentive	

2 3	increase. And I'm not sure whether there's a similar sentiment for this program, but I just wanted to raise that.						
4	[No verbal response]						
5	MR. WIESNER: I guess not.						
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seems like not.						
7	All right. Then we will close the public						
8	comment hearing and invite you to submit your						
9	written comments by the end of next week, and						
-							
	we will an issue order on this as quickly as we						
	can. Thank you all.						
12	(Whereupon the Public Comment Hearing						
13	adjourned at 2:55 p.m.)						
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
	{DE 13-298} [PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING] {06-03-16}						

DE 13-270 REIVE WABL	E ENERGI INCENTIV	HEATING SYSTEMS	I BULK FUEL-FED WO	UU I ELLE I VENTKAI
	18:18	apologies (1)	35:12	23:1
\$	adjourned (1)	17:8	become (2)	buying (1)
Ψ	37:13	apologize (1)	5:9;6:3	35:24
\$1.38 (1)	adjust (1)	36:20	becomes (1)	
	21:20	appear (1)	22:11	С
35:21	adjustments (1)	4:9	becoming (1)	U
51.40 (1)	21:14	applaud (1)	29:7	call (1)
35:21	administrative (2)	31:5	behind (1)	20:14
61.50 (1)	16:10;20:4	applicable (1)	19:24	came (1)
35:18	adopt (2)	3:8	below (2)	23:4
5120 (2)	L		20:7:23:18	
9:24;35:20	13:4;28:9	applications (1)	,	can (24)
52 (1)	adopted (1)	4:4	beneficial (1)	6:14;9:7;10:3,21;
35:11	29:3	applied (1)	8:6	11:17;12:1,3;13:17;
240 (1)	adopting (1)	35:19	Bernstein (1)	15:5;17:24;18:1,15,18
9:23	28:16	appreciation (1)	3:19	19:3;21:11,13,24;22:4
350,000 (1)	adoption (3)	23:10	beyond (1)	8,14;32:19;35:1;36:2;
12:17	28:16;29:15,18	appropriate (3)	13:6	37:11
55,000 (2)	advantage (2)	6:16;19:20;33:15	big (1)	Cap (2)
5:12;34:10	7:11;9:19	approved (1)	20:20	12:18;30:20
	advantageous (1)	17:2	bigger (3)	capability (2)
665,000 (1)	14:12	area (3)	18:11;22:10;27:4	9:4,18
4:15	afternoon (1)	12:13;20:18;22:11	biomass (2)	care (1)
r	3:2	argument (1)	27:23;28:7	27:5
[again (14)	36:23	bit (2)	case (3)
		around (3)		
No (3)	4:23;5:23;7:7;8:8;		19:14;31:15	5:3;12:8;27:5
33:6;36:14;37:4	10:22;11:23;12:7,20;	9:5;15:18;34:17	blow (2)	Center (1)
	23:9;25:18;26:22;	assist (2)	20:16;25:2	7:19
Α	29:13;32:10;36:5	5:10,12	boat (1)	Central (2)
	aggregation (1)	assistance (1)	29:8	3:6;12:8
able (9)	31:20	15:7	boiler (7)	cents (2)
7:11;12:5;17:14;	ago (2)	attention (1)	10:2,13;14:2;21:19;	35:22,24
19:7;20:16;21:20;23:7;	7:4;22:22	32:4	23:17;27:1,3	certain (1)
	agree (5)	attorney (1)	boilers (12)	5:17
27:2;31:1	15:1;23:13,18;29:9;	3:18	4:1;9:17;10:5;12:12,	certainly (2)
above (2)	31:11	Austria (1)	17;18:15;22:4,7,12,13;	29:17,20
5:7;20:3	agreement (1)	21:4	25:7;34:6	certification (2)
absolute (1)	26:21		both (1)	15:23;16:16
36:6		automatically (1)		
accept (1)	ahead (1)	4:18	9:18	certified (2)
10:3	28:15	avoid (1)	bought (1)	8:3;15:10
accepting (1)	almost (1)	17:16	34:8	CHAIRMAN (26)
3:13	15:4		brief (1)	3:2,18;6:19;7:15;
chieving (1)	along (3)	В	7:3	8:19,21;13:1;14:16,19
5:11	23:4;32:14;35:1		briefly (3)	17:4;18:22;20:9;25:22
acronym (1)	alternative (1)	back (7)	3:16;33:10,12	27:12,17,19,20;29:23
17:15	27:22	4:24;6:22;11:13,18;	Btu (5)	30:5;33:2,7;34:1;
	amorphous (1)	28:13;30:14;31:4	5:7;15:9;18:15;20:2;	36:11,15,21;37:6
actors (1)	15:20	background (1)	22:8	challenging (1)
32:5	amounts (1)	26:11	Btu's (8)	22:11
ctually (2)	33:20	back-in (1)	6:12;9:22,23;10:1,	
21:10;35:21				change (1)
dd (3)	anchor (2)	18:17	24;16:6;36:9,9	4:22
		Barbara (2)	building (1)	changed (3)
26:2;34:2;36:12	8:11;28:22			
	and/or (2)	3:19;16:24	20:17	5:2,22;7:3
	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5	3:19;16:24 based (3)	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1)	changes (2)
dded (1) 13:24	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1)	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5	changes (2) 8:6;29:19
dded (1) 13:24 dder (4)	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1)	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5)	changes (2)
dded (1) 13:24 dder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1)	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5	changes (2) 8:6;29:19
dded (1) 13:24 dder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 dders (1)	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16
added (1) 13:24 adder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 adders (1) 5:5	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1)	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2)	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1)
added (1) 13:24 adder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 adders (1) 5:5 addition (5)	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11 anything's (1)	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1) 20:15	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2) 24:14;35:14	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1) 14:20
added (1) 13:24 13:24 13:24 14:30 13:24 14:30 13:25 14:30 15:25 14:30 14:30 15:25 14:30	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11 anything's (1) 27:8	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1) 20:15 basically (3)	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2) 24:14;35:14 burning (2)	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1) 14:20 Charlie (2)
added (1) 13:24 adder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 adders (1) 5:5 addition (5) 5:20,23;7:13;12:14; 29:11	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11 anything's (1) 27:8 anyways (1)	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1) 20:15 basically (3) 16:3;34:9;35:12	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2) 24:14;35:14 burning (2) 9:18;18:12	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1) 14:20 Charlie (2) 14:17;23:16
added (1) 13:24 adder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 adders (1) 5:5 addition (5) 5:20,23;7:13;12:14; 29:11 additional (1)	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11 anything's (1) 27:8 anyways (1) 26:12	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1) 20:15 basically (3) 16:3;34:9;35:12 basing (1)	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2) 24:14;35:14 burning (2) 9:18;18:12 business (2)	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1) 14:20 Charlie (2) 14:17;23:16 Charlie's (1)
added (1) 13:24 adder (4) 5:12;8:4;15:2;16:17 adders (1) 5:5 addition (5) 5:20,23;7:13;12:14;	and/or (2) 9:18;19:5 announcements (1) 31:2 answered (1) 20:11 anything's (1) 27:8 anyways (1)	3:19;16:24 based (3) 4:6;19:8;26:5 basement (1) 20:18 bases (1) 20:15 basically (3) 16:3;34:9;35:12	20:17 Bulk-Fed (1) 3:5 burn (5) 22:4,8,9;35:12,16 burned (2) 24:14;35:14 burning (2) 9:18;18:12	changes (2) 8:6;29:19 characterized (2) 4:13,16 Charles (1) 14:20 Charlie (2) 14:17;23:16

Min-U-Script®

DE 13-298 RENEWABL	E ENERGY INCENTIVI	E PROGRAM FOR C &	I BULK FUEL-FED WO	OD PELLET CENTRAL
34:12	Commission (6)	HEATING SYSTEMS	27:2	davalanmanta (1)
		28:14;33:11		developments (1)
chip (25)	4:24;8:22;14:19;	continued (1)	deals (1)	22:2
9:19;10:13,14,19,21;	15:13;28:5;30:10	8:13	27:3	Dick (2)
13:11,16,20,24;14:14;	Commissioner (5)	continuing (2)	debate (1)	8:20,22
17:19,23;18:9,10,14;	13:1;17:21;18:22;	5:24;29:2	23:19	different (2)
20:15,16,24;21:24;	25:22;30:9	contractor (1)	decrease (2)	20:19;36:3
22:3,8;24:7,22;26:4,17	Committee (1)	27:23	5:1;6:10	difficult (3)
chipped (1)	27:21	contrasted (1)	define (2)	8:16;29:22;31:13
14:5	companies (2)	5:3	25:15;26:4	direct (2)
chipper (1)	34:24;36:3	control (3)	defined (3)	17:5;19:8
14:3	company (3)	24:9;32:1,6	24:6;25:10;29:13	Director (1)
chips (42)	19:8;27:21;28:3	controls (3)	defining (1)	30:11
5:19,23;7:13;9:9,13,	company's (1)	13:16,17;18:17	23:24	discussed (1)
19,24;10:9,14,16;	28:6	conventional (2)	definition (4)	26:8
11:22;12:6,23;13:7,22;	comparable (1)	17:23;18:10	9:13;15:4;25:8;	discussions (1)
14:4,9;17:13,23,24,24;	18:2	cost (6)	26:22	4:7
19:2,5;21:5,12,23;22:4,	comparatively (1)	4:15;12:4,17;15:22,	definitional (1)	distinction (3)
17;23:8,20;24:22,22;	33:21	22;30:21	24:8	18:8;21:18;22:1
26:3,11,14;27:4;29:9,	compare (1)	cost-effective (1)	definitions (1)	distribution (4)
10;32:1;35:15,20;	35:9	34:18	24:15	6:2;9:5;14:7,8
36:10	competitive (1)	costs (6)	delighted (2)	district (4)
choose (2)	4:10	10:5;11:24;16:10,19,	30:8,18	8:24;10:6;12:9;17:1
12:21,21	complex (1)	20;20:4	deliver (6)	divide (1)
circle (1)	11:21	count (1)	12:5;18:5;20:22;	35:16
6:22	complexities (1)	33:18	21:23,24;22:24	dividing (3)
circumstances (1)	15:21	couple (1)	delivered (4)	16:5;18:20;20:3
27:7	compliance (2)	36:22	14:4;17:24;18:1;	Division (1)
clears (1)	16:10;20:4	Court (1)	19:2	3:21
22:16	comply (1)	21:1	deliverers (1)	Docket (2)
cliff (1)	5:14	cover (1)	12:1	3:4;32:19
20:11	compromise (1)	24:16	deliveries (3)	dockets (1)
climate (1)	6:4	Crampton (1)	11:9;12:2;15:6	32:16
8:8	concept (2)	3:20	delivering (1)	dollar (1)
close (1)	15:20;20:15	creation (1)	12:7	35:15
37:7	concern (1)	9:13	delivery (6)	dollars (1)
CMSR (8)	6:3	criteria (1)	11:8,19,20;19:4,5;	14:13
13:3,9;14:15;18:24;	concerned (2)	22:13	26:7	dollars-wise (1)
19:10;20:8;25:24;	31:24;32:2	curious (1)	dense (2)	23:12
27:11	concur (2)	26:18	9:8,10	domestic (1)
combined (1)	28:3;32:12	current (2)	density (2)	12:2
16:2	conditions (3)	6:11;9:20	9:6;10:23	done (2)
coming (3)	4:21,22;5:2	currently (1)	depending (1)	25:11;29:5
14:1,2,3	confronted (1)	32:22	31:19	down (11)
comment (9)	27:7	customer (1)	depressed (1)	4:3;9:14;10:15;
3:11;7:21;17:9;	confusing (1)	10:24	4:11	23:22;24:23;25:18;
22:17;28:3,21;29:10;	34:21	customers (1)	describe (1)	29:8,14,17;35:2,10
37:8,12	consider (2)	21:8	17:16	downtown (1)
commenter (2)	4:20;5:18	_	description (1)	12:13
20:10;27:13	considerably (4)	D	24:20	draw (2)
commenters (1)	4:3,5,11;16:6		descriptive (1)	17:22;28:12
3:15	considering (1)	date (1)	26:17	drawing (1)
commenting (1)	33:1	4:19	detail (2)	15:16
22:18	Construction (1)	Dave (1)	26:4;32:4	Dresser (6)
comments (11)	32:17	3:18	detrimental (1)	6:24;7:1,1,16;8:4;
3:13;17:5,10;19:1;	consult (1)	day (2)	29:16	33:15
23:16;26:1;30:15;	25:13	16:11;27:21	develop (1)	dried (14)
32:23;33:5;36:16;37:9	consumed (1)	days (1)	10:11	5:19;7:13;9:14,18,
Commercial (9)	33:23	10:15	developed (2)	19,24;10:9;17:19;
3:5,23;8:1,17;11:6;	content (3)	DE (1)	13:21;14:10	20:16;23:8;26:2,14;
15:3;17:12;19:21;	18:9;21:21;30:17	3:4	developing (1)	32:1;36:10
34:11	continuation (1)	deadhead (1)	12:9	drier (1)
commercially (1)	28:20	11:13	development (3)	13:15
28:15	continue (2)	deal (1)	6:1;10:2;23:5	drive (1)
	1	1	1	1

	Public	Comment Hearing - June	3, 2016	
DE 13-298 RENEWABI	E ENERGY INCENTIV	E PROGRAM FOR C & HEATING SYSTEMS	I BULK FUEL-FED WO	OD PELLET CENTRAL
10:11	emissions (4)	30:11	34:12	further (3)
driver (1)	6:7;13:4,16,17	exist (1)	fine (1)	9:16;10:18;11:3
4:1	encourage (2)	18:5	24:19	future (1)
drop (2) 4:8;20:22	12:20;31:22 encouraged (1)	expand (2) 10:4;12:19	first (2) 20:13;27:13	22:1
dropped (1)	9:16	expanded (1)	five (1)	G
4:5	end (5)	23:12	10:16	
dry (20)	16:24;34:19;35:13;	expanding (1)	five-inch (1)	gallon (5)
9:13;10:13,14,18,21; 11:22;12:6,23;13:7;	36:17;37:9 endorse (2)	10:9 expect (1)	25:3 flowing (1)	35:10,11,18,22;36:1
17:13,23,24;19:1;	7:5,9	22:18	29:7	gallons (4) 11:10;35:14,17;36:6
20:15;21:5,23,24;22:8,	endorses (1)	expectation (1)	fluctuating (1)	generally (1)
17;23:20	28:4	4:19	30:21	15:11
drying (2) 10:12;11:3	ends (1) 35:17	expedited (1) 32:19	folks (1) 36:22	generated (1) 33:22
dryness (1)	Energy (15)	expenditure (1)	50.22 follow (1)	generic (2)
26:24	3:4,21;7:2;8:24;9:6,	12:16	29:4	17:17;24:20
due (1)	8;10:6,18,23;12:10;	expenses (1)	following (2)	gentleman (1)
4:8	19:7;20:1,13;27:21,22	36:7	10:20;21:3	19:6
during (2) 10:17;36:21	engineer (1) 15:23	expensive (3) 9:21;13:17;20:21	Fontino (1) 27:14	gets (1) 24:13
Dutch (2)	engineers' (1)	experience (3)	footsteps (1)	giant (1)
6:24;7:1	16:19	8:13;19:8;34:24	21:4	34:10
Dutch's (1)	England (1)	experienced (1)	Forest (1)	given (2)
34:6	14:22	34:23	7:19	14:11;26:5
E	enough (1) 16:9	extending (1) 17:12	forgot (1) 13:15	giving (1) 10:23
L	enter (1)	extra (2)	forth (3)	goal (2)
earlier (2)	32:5	15:7;36:7	20:23;26:24;34:8	5:11;6:4
7:20;28:21	equipment (6)	eye (1)	fossil (1)	goes (2)
easier (1)	5:13;6:13;7:10;28:1;	4:21	30:21	11:9;21:22
33:20	29:3;31:19		FOUNTAIN (4)	Good (10)
	equity (1)	F	27.15 16 17 18	3.2.10.22.13.13.
easily (1) 6:14	equity (1) 7:14	F	27:15,16,17,18 four (3)	3:2;10:22;13:13; 23:10.10:28:19:30:24;
6:14 easy (2)	7:14 equivalent (1)	fact (3)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7,	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7;	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5,	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8,
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7;	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3) 33:4;34:2;36:12 emerge (1) 11:22	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1) 24:3	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22 filed (1) 3:7 finally (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1 funding (2) 23:22,23 funds (1)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2) 15:23;36:1 hand (2) 33:10;34:23
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3) 33:4;34:2;36:12 emerge (1) 11:22 emergency (3)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1) 24:3 excuse (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22 filed (1) 3:7 finally (1) 34:24	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1 funding (2) 23:22,23 funds (1) 14:11	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2) 15:23;36:1 hand (2) 33:10;34:23 hanger (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3) 33:4;34:2;36:12 emerge (1) 11:22 emergency (3) 11:14,16,19	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1) 24:3 excuse (1) 6:5	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22 filed (1) 3:7 finally (1) 34:24 financial (1)	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1 funding (2) 23:22,23 funds (1) 14:11 funnel-type (1)	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2) 15:23;36:1 hand (2) 33:10;34:23 hanger (1) 20:11
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3) 33:4;34:2;36:12 emerge (1) 11:22 emergency (3)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1) 24:3 excuse (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22 filed (1) 3:7 finally (1) 34:24	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1 funding (2) 23:22,23 funds (1) 14:11	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2) 15:23;36:1 hand (2) 33:10;34:23 hanger (1)
6:14 easy (2) 13:16;34:17 effect (3) 7:21;16:2;36:24 effective (3) 12:5;18:20;35:6 efficiently (1) 22:9 effort (1) 9:1 either (2) 22:3;35:15 elaboration (1) 26:14 electrostatic (1) 18:16 eligibility (3) 5:22;19:15,23 eligible (5) 5:9,18;6:4,14;15:10 else (3) 33:4;34:2;36:12 emerge (1) 11:22 emergency (3) 11:14,16,19 emission (7)	7:14 equivalent (1) 35:17 especially (1) 34:19 established (2) 23:7;34:22 Europe (1) 21:5 European (1) 28:9 even (4) 12:16;16:16;23:1,7 everybody's (1) 26:21 everyone (2) 3:3;36:16 exactly (1) 34:17 example (1) 24:4 exceedingly (1) 7:2 except (1) 24:3 excuse (1) 6:5 execution (1)	fact (3) 9:16;28:11;34:6 factor (1) 26:24 fall (1) 10:20 far (6) 9:7;21:22;26:6;32:7, 8;35:4 favor (1) 7:12 favorite (1) 30:8 feel (2) 23:16;29:12 feeling (1) 33:8 feet (1) 25:3 few (2) 7:3,22 filed (1) 3:7 finally (1) 34:24 financial (1) 31:8	four (3) 10:16;12:11;21:8 frame (1) 30:14 Froling (3) 19:7;20:13;32:2 front (2) 20:11;32:15 fuel (18) 5:4,18,20;6:1;9:7; 11:14;15:2;17:19;18:5, 10;22:20;25:9;28:23; 32:8;33:20,23;35:10; 36:2 fuels (2) 6:3;30:22 fulfills (1) 19:23 full (2) 15:5;32:18 Fund (1) 20:1 funding (2) 23:22,23 funds (1) 14:11 funnel-type (1) 21:17	23:10,10;28:19;30:24; 31:16,22;32:10 grateful (1) 32:24 great (5) 8:5;23:9;29:11,12; 31:9 greatly (1) 8:5 green (7) 9:9;13:20,24;14:8, 14;17:24;20:24 guess (3) 13:11;15:11;37:5 guy (1) 27:15 H half (1) 20:2 Hampshire (2) 15:23;36:1 hand (2) 33:10;34:23 hanger (1) 20:11 happy (2)

Public Comment Hearing - June 3, 2016
DE 13-298 RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVE PROGRAM FOR C & I BULK FUEL-FED WOOD PELLET CENTRAL

DE 13-298 RENEWABI	LE ENERGY INCENTIV	E PROGRAM FOR C &	I BULK FUEL-FED WO	OD PELLET CENTRAL
22.6		HEATING SYSTEMS		17 00 10 00 10 10
32:6	_	5:13;27:22		17:22;18:20;19:18;
hate (2)	I	installations (4)	Κ	20:3
25:18;26:15		5:10;27:24;28:20;		list (1)
head (1)	idea (9)	29:1	Karen (1)	3:14
19:10	8:5;23:11,20,23;	installing (2)	3:19	little (7)
hear (1)	24:5,6;29:14;31:7,9	15:5;22:14	keep (3)	16:24;19:14;22:11;
26:1	impact (2)	instead (1)	4:21;29:6,7	31:15,18,24;33:9
heard (3)	35:8,23	21:17	key (1)	local (2)
5:17;26:5;36:22	implemented (1)	Institute (1)	28:11	11:11;12:10
hearing (5)	9:12	30:12	kind (4)	long (2)
3:11;26:9;36:22;	important (4)	instrumental (1)	12:15;26:23;29:4;	27:1;29:21
37:8,12	8:17;22:20;26:3;	28:19	32:22	long-term (1)
heartily (2)	32:16	integrated (1)	KW (1)	7:8
7:9;30:17	improve (3)	20:19	20:3	look (3)
heat (1)	9:3,4;14:7	intent (2)	-	29:1;35:8;36:18
5:15	incent (1)	14:6;19:24	L	looking (2) 8:15;28:11
Heating (2)	26:7	interaction (1)		,
3:6;27:24	Incentive (7)	11:5	la (1)	looks (1) 25:4
heavy (1)	3:4,9;4:14;5:1;	interest (1)	33:18	
9:10	13:22;16:8;36:24	5:24	land (1)	$\frac{\text{loosen}}{25\cdot 2}(1)$
heck (1)	inception (2)	interesting (2)	16:8	35:3 lot (9)
12:4 holp (7)	28:6;30:14	10:2;22:1	landed (1)	10:15;12:4;16:20;
help (7)	include (3)	interior (1)	19:14	
11:2,2;12:15,18;	5:19;10:9;12:23	20:17	large (2)	22:4;23:16;26:16;
14:7,10;35:1	including (1)	interrupts (1) 21:1	10:7;15:15	31:13;32:16,20
helpful (5)	23:20		largely (1)	love (1) 25:13
9:1;12:14;16:18; 28:8;31:5	increase (10)	intimidating (1) 16:21	4:8	23:15 lower (2)
	4:13;7:24;14:24;	into (9)	larger (12)	6:16;35:2
Henry (7) 8:20,21,23;13:8,13;	23:22;28:5,12,18;	14:13;18:6;20:17;	5:6,8;8:2,9,11;9:17;	
33:8,12	30:19,19;37:1	21:5,15;25:2,12;28:11;	10:5;12:7;13:20;28:22,	lowered (1) 6:8
	increases (2)	34:22	23;36:5	0.8
hey (1) 31:2	3:8;29:19	investing (1)	Last (3)	Μ
high (4)	increasing (1)	23:2	27:13;29:23;33:3	111
11:11,12,18;35:23	10:22	invite (1)	lately (1)	Maine (1)
higher (1)	independent (1)	37:8	27:8	7:1
16:6	16:19	involved (2)	Laura (2)	major (1)
history (1)	indicated (1)	25:16;30:13	29:24;30:10	21:18
26:6	6:20	involvement (1)	learn (1)	makes (4)
hole (1)	indicates (1)	28:7	16:1	4:9;11:9,20;17:22
19:17	6:21	issue (3)	least (1)	4.9,11.9,20,17.22 making (2)
Hollis (1)	Industrial (8)	16:11;31:17;37:10	5:6	18:8;26:20
34:7	3:5,24;8:1;10:7;	issues (2)	left (1)	man's (1)
honestly (1)	11:6;15:3;17:13;19:21	25:20;32:1	11:10	16:8
18:14	industry (6)	23.20,32.1	lend (1)	manufacturers (1)
HONIGBERG (20)	4:2;6:1;7:9;8:16;	J	12:7	20:14
3:2;6:19;7:15;8:19;	11:7;28:7	U	lends (1)	many (8)
13:1;14:16;17:4;18:22;	information (1)	Jim (1)	11:23	9:16;11:24;18:2;
20:9;25:22;27:12,17;	35:23	20:10	less (7)	22:22,23;35:12,13;
29:23;30:5;33:2,7;	infrastructure (2)	job (1)	9:14,21;11:24;12:2,	36:2
34:1;36:11,15;37:6	20:21;23:1	32:3	23;13:17,23	map (1)
hope (2)	initial (2)	Joe (2)	letting (1)	28:24
15:12;22:15	9:2;12:16	7:17,18	6:3	market (20)
hot (2)	initially (1)	Jordan (1)	level (6)	4:11,20,22;5:2;9:15,
8:23,23	9:12	30:12	4:14;5:1;6:11;15:1;	20;10:4,11;12:19;
hour (1)	innovations (1) 9:11	jump (1)	33:19;35:20	13:20,24;21:8;24:4;
5:7		25:12	levels (2)	28:9,13;30:24;31:5,10;
hours (1)	innovative (2)	June (1)	3:9;35:2	32:5;33:16
14:4	10:12;14:20	3:14	$\lim_{4 \to 15} (1)$	marketing (1)
hundred (1)	input (1) 3:12	justification (1)	4:15	31:1
25:3	5:12 insight (1)	18:8	limited (1) 14:11	marketplace (1)
hung (1)	30:9	justify (2)	line (7)	25:15
25:4	installation (2)	20:5;36:7	6:9;15:16;16:5;	markets (1)
			0.7,13.10,10.3,	

DE 13-298 RENEWAD
11:6 Massachusetts (1) 6:10
matchbook (2) 24:23,24
material (1) 4:22
materials (1) 31:1
matter (6) 6:7;23:24;26:20;
27:1;34:6;36:4 maturing (1)
31:21
maximum (2)
4:15;25:1 May (10)
3:7;16:23;17:14;
18:3,19;19:7;26:15; 27:13;32:5,9
maybe (7) 6:21;19:12;20:10;
30:1;31:16,17;33:8
mean (3) 14:3;16:13;26:20
meaningful (1)
16:3 means (1)
16:15 measurement (1)
5:15
mechanism (1) 4:17
meet (7)
6:14;13:12;18:15; 22:9,12;26:10,12
members (3)
8:22;14:19;30:10 Memorandum (1)
3:7
mentioned (3) 11:4;19:1;33:15
meter (1) 34:19
metering (11)
5:13;7:10;8:4;15:18, 18,22,22;31:8,19,23;
35:4
meters (7) 15:24;16:17;34:5,8,
8,12,13
microphone (1) 36:19
middle (1) 17:1
might (8)
4:10;11:22;22:1,2; 27:9;30:6;32:10;34:12
mill (1) 12:10
million (8)
6:12;9:21,23;10:1; 18:15;20:2;36:9,9

I FRUGRA
HEATIN 20:2;34
needed (1
25:17
needs (5) 11:17;1
31:10;3
networks
6:2
new (8) 9:15;14
27:21;3
Next (6)
8:19;14 27:12;3
Niebling (
14:17,1
19:6,19
nobody (1 35:24
nor (1)
4:18
Northern
7:19;21 note (1)
36:21
noted (1)
3:22 notion (1)
7:9
nowhere (
17:1
number (: 3:9;4:3;
35:16
objective
9:2
objectives
9:3 observation
4:2;8:8
obvious (1
9:6 odd (1)
25:7
off (2)
11:11;2
offer (1) 33:5
offset (2)
16:9;20
often (1) 10:13
oil (13)
4:8,21,2
4:8,21,2 18;28:1
4:8,21,2 18;28:1 35:10,1
4:8,21,2 18;28:1
4:8,21,2 18;28:1 35:10,1 old (1)

ING SYSTEMS	
;34:13,15;35:3	one (14) 6:21;8:
7	12:16;
(5) 7;13:21;25:11;	20:15;2 34:5;3
0;34:14	only (1)
·ks (1)	11:1 open (1)
) ;14:21;15:23;	26:22 opening
1;31:1,2;32:4;36:1	5:18;2
5) ;14:16;20:9;	operating 10:4;22
2;36:17;37:9	operation 20:24
ng (8) 7,18,20;17:4,7;	opportur
,19;31:11 y (1)	32:24 oral (1)
4	33:5
	order (4) 5:10;6:
ern (2) ;21:4	37:10 otherwis
)	4:10
1 (1)	ought (1) 36:23
	ours (1)
(1)	36:8 out (16)
re (1)	10:21; 13:20;
er (5)	24:4,12
k:3;24:8;32:12; 6	32:7;33 over (2)
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15:
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6 0	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2)
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6 0	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6 0 ve (1)	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2
U:3;24:8;32:12; 0 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8	32:7;33 over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (
I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 0 ve (1) ves (1) ation (2)	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21
U:3;24:8;32:12; 0 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2:
I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1)	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24
U:3;24:8;32:12; 0 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: overse (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2 paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9
I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 L)	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa
<pre>I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 O ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2);20:6</pre>	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2: owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa
<pre>I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2)</pre>	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2; paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula
<pre>I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2);20:6 1) 3</pre>	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula 6:2;8:1
I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 O ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2) ;20:6 1) 3 21,23;11:7,8,16, 8:10,17;29:5;	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula 6:2;8:1 17:18;2
I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 Ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2) ;20:6 1) 3 21,23;11:7,8,16,	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula 6:2;8:1 17:18;; particula 7:8;8:1
<pre>I:3;24:8;32:12; 6 O ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2);20:6 1) 3 0;1,23;11:7,8,16, 8:10,17;29:5; 0,14,24 4</pre>	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula 6:2;8:1 17:18;2 particula 6:7;22:
1:3;24:8;32:12; 6 0 ve (1) ves (1) ation (2) 3:8 s (1) 1;20:23 1) 2) ;20:6 1) 3 9 21,23;11:7,8,16, 8:10,17;29:5; 0,14,24	32:7;3: over (2) 4:5;15: oversee (32:6 own (2) 8:12;2 owners (16:21 package 3:23;2: paper (1) 24:24 part (1) 31:9 participa 20:5 participa 15:10; particula 6:2;8:1 17:18;; particula 7:8;8:1 particula

:5:9:3.10: 13:14:17:20; 21:18;22:3;30:7; 5:7;36:8 (2)1:7 ıg (2) 2:15 ns (1) nity (1) :23;25:5; se (1)) 11:9.16:12:3; 14:1.2.3:21:10: 2,13;31:1,15; 3:23 :9 (1)1:6 (1) Р (2) 3:3) ate (1) ation (2) :16:4 ar (7) 0;13:7,10; 25:19;28:13 arly (2) 7 ate (2) :12 (1) Please (1)

past (1) 4:6 pay (1) 34:19 **PDC (3)** 17:15;20:14;24:5 PDCs (2) 25:14:35:20 Pellet (15) 3:6.24:4:9:6:1:12:1: 14:22;18:3,7;21:23; 22:4,23;23:5;24:11; 27:24;30:13 pellets (18) 5:20,23;8:12;9:8,18, 22,22;13:6;19:5;21:11, 12,13,24;22:22;25:10; 35:11,15,19 people (6) 3:11;6:20;7:11; 22:18;24:10;34:20 people's (1) 31:4 per (6) 5:7,12;6:11;9:21; 10:24;18:15 percent (11) 4:14;9:14,21;10:10; 12:22;13:23;24:17,19; 26:23:27:9:30:19 percentage (1) 10:20 percent-plus (1) 9:9 perfectly (2) 24:19;33:14 performance (1) 12:22 perhaps (6) 6:4:18:18:19:17; 20:18,18;31:14 permit (1) 5:22 person (2) 29:23;33:3 pipe (1) 25:3 pit (1) 20:23 place (2) 6:9;9:11 places (1) 21:4 planning (1) 23:10 plant (1) 24:11 plants (1) 18:12 plate (1) 21:15

3:3

DE 13-298 RENEWABLE ENERGY INCENTIVE PROGRAM FOR C & I		BULK FUEL-FED WOOD PELLET CENTRAL		
	D	HEATING SYSTEMS	J (1)	
Plus (1) 25:6	Program (18) 3:5,24;4:5;5:4,6,16;	22:8	reduced (1) 4:18	result (1) 4:12
	6:6;15:11;16:5;20:5,7;	rather (4) 7:19;14:13;16:21;		
pm (1) 37:13	23:11,21;25:19;26:6;	17:5	refined (3) 17:13,19,23	retroactive (1) 36:24
	28:6;30:13;37:2	reacting (1)	reflect (2)	revenue (1)
pneumatic (1) 19:4	projects (2)	27:6	5:1;6:17	20:6
pneumatically (4)	16:8;32:20	reaction (2)	regarding (1)	revisit (3)
18:1,6;19:2;22:24	propose (1)	26:1,18	14:24	15:14,17;32:11
point (8)	4:24	real (5)	related (2)	revisits (1)
13:14;22:16,21;	proposed (2)	11:5,16;25:12;34:15;	9:7;32:1	31:17
25:18;28:24;31:18;	5:5;12:24	35:8	relatively (2)	Richardson (6)
33:13:34:5	proposing (6)	reality (1)	9:8,15	29:24;30:1,3,7,11;
points (2)	3:22;4:12;5:8,11,21;	6:17	relevant (1)	33:3
13:14;23:14	6:7	really (20)	16:11	right (24)
positive (1)	provide (2)	10:1;12:20;13:9;	reluctance (1)	6:19;7:7;10:12;
23:5	3:11;28:24	21:5;22:23;23:18;	28:8	13:19,22;14:9;18:20;
positively (1)	public (4)	24:17;25:1;26:4;29:2,	reluctant (1)	20:11;21:8;24:2;25:20;
22:19	3:11,15;37:7,12	6,11,18;31:7,12,22;	28:14	26:19;27:9;29:16;
possible (2)	PUC (4)	32:5,7,16;36:4	remarks (1)	32:17,18;33:2,7;34:1,
27:8;32:14	15:14,24;17:3;19:20	reason (1)	7:2	11;35:9,11;36:1;37:7
potential (4)	pulling (1)	17:20	remind (1)	roll (1)
8:10;10:17;19:1;	36:19	rebate (25)	36:15	31:1
20:6	punch (1)	3:24;4:5;5:5;6:14;	reminder (1)	round (1)
potentially (3)	19:18	8:1,4,24;9:12;10:9;	17:8	23:9
10:3;11:21;19:4	purpose (1)	11:1;12:15,24;14:12,	Renewable (2)	RPS (2)
pounds (2)	19:23	24;15:2;17:13;21:10;	3:4;19:24	15:11;16:4
6:11;18:15	push (1)	28:5,7,12,18;29:4,19;	reopens (1)	ruler (1)
power (1)	11:2 muching (1)	31:3;36:24	15:13	25:5
18:11	pushing (1) 28:15	rebates (3) 8:17;19:21;23:4	repeat (1) 7:20	rules (4) 5:14;15:14,19;16:1
precipitator (1) 18:16	put (5)	REC (7)	Reporter (1)	run (2)
precludes (1)	12:11;14:12;21:13,	5:15;15:11;16:5;	21:1	10:13;11:16
16:3	15;34:5	19:15,22;31:8,22	representing (1)	10.13,11.10
preempt (1)	10,51.0		14:21	C
		received (1)	14:21	N N
7:12	0	received (1) 4:4		S
7:12 Presuming (2)	Q	4:4	represents (1)	
Presuming (2)			represents (1) 6:10	same (6)
	Q qualification (1) 17:12	4:4 receiving (1)	represents (1)	
Presuming (2) 13:3,5	qualification (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24	represents (1) 6:10 require (4)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4;
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4)	qualification (1) 17:12	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20;	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13;
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2;	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9:15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18;	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6,	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18;	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 requirement (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15;
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8;
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3 raised (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1) 32:21	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1) 14:21	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2) 17:20,21
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13 produced (1)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13 produced (1) 36:2	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3 raised (1) 19:2	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1) 32:21 RECs (15)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1) 14:21 respect (2)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2) 17:20,21 season (3)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13 produced (1) 36:2 product (10)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3 raised (1) 19:2 raising (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1) 32:21 RECs (15) 5:9;7:7,11;12:14;	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1) 14:21 respect (2) 15:8;17:11	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2) 17:20,21 season (3) 29:18,20;32:18
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13 produced (1) 36:2 product (10) 14:6;17:17,18;24:1, 6,20;25:8,9;29:12,13 products (2)	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3 raised (1) 19:2 raising (1) 31:14	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1) 3:2:1 RECs (15) 5:9;7:7,11;12:14; 16:14;33:14,17,18,22; 34:22;35:2,8,19,22,22 reduce (1)	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9;15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1) 14:21 respect (2) 15:8;17:11 respects (1) 18:3 response] (3)	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2) 17:20,21 season (3) 29:18,20;32:18 seated (1) 3:3 sectors (1)
Presuming (2) 13:3,5 pretty (4) 13:21;14:9;26:21; 30:17 previous (1) 17:11 price (1) 28:17 prices (5) 4:8,21,23;28:10;29:5 primarily (1) 5:23 primary (1) 4:1 probably (3) 4:24;13:19;27:1 process (4) 16:16,22;29:2;34:22 processed (2) 5:19;7:13 produced (1) 36:2 product (10) 14:6;17:17,18;24:1, 6,20;25:8,9;29:12,13	qualification (1) 17:12 qualify (2) 19:22;33:16 quality (4) 24:9;32:1,6;34:13 quantity (1) 15:6 quickly (3) 25:13;32:14;37:10 quite (4) 11:15;17:2;19:18; 32:24 R radars (1) 31:4 raise (2) 20:2;37:3 raised (1) 19:2 raising (1) 31:14 ramifications (1)	4:4 receiving (1) 16:24 REC-eligible (4) 8:3;16:13,15;31:14 recent (1) 30:16 re-chip (1) 24:21 recipients (1) 19:20 recommend (1) 23:15 recommendation (3) 3:12;14:24;30:18 recommendations (4) 7:6,24;29:15;30:16 recommended (2) 23:15;28:4 recommending (1) 3:8 reconsider (1) 32:21 RECs (15) 5:9;7:7,11;12:14; 16:14;33:14,17,18,22; 34:22;35:2,8,19,22,22	represents (1) 6:10 require (4) 8:2;19:20;20:20; 29:4 required (3) 5:9:15:9,24 requirement (2) 13:12;15:8 requirements (4) 13:5;15:17;16:2; 19:15 residential (9) 5:4,16;6:6;8:14;11:6, 9;33:16,18;36:22 residentially (1) 28:15 resilient (1) 11:20 resist (1) 33:9 Resource (1) 14:21 respect (2) 15:8;17:11 respects (1) 18:3	same (6) 11:22;18:4;19:3,4; 21:13;34:9 saw (1) 21:7 saying (4) 16:12;19:16;26:13; 31:2 scene (2) 3:16;32:5 scheduled (1) 3:10 school (4) 11:11,12,19;17:1 schools (2) 10:6;18:11 Scott (11) 13:2,3,9;14:15; 18:23,24;19:10;20:8; 25:23,24;27:11 Scott's (2) 17:20,21 season (3) 29:18,20;32:18 seated (1) 3:3

DE 13-298 RENEWAB	LE ENERGY INCENTIV		I BULK FUEL-FED WO	OD PELLET CENTRAL
• (1)		HEATING SYSTEMS	6 H (1)	
seeing (1)	site (1)	specified (1)	successfully (1)	technologies (1)
29:3	24:13	4:19	22:15	28:10
seem (1) 16:23	sitting (1) 10:19	spend (1) 26:16	sufficient (2)	technology (6)
seemed (1)	situation (2)	stable (1)	16:9;26:15 suit (1)	10:3;11:3;12:21;
14:5	11:22;30:21	24:18	29:4	18:2,3,5 Ted (1)
seems (2)	situations (1)	24.18 Staff (21)	summer (2)	27:13
22:7;37:6	10:7	3:6,18,22;4:4,12,20;	10:17;32:15	temporarily (1)
self-defining (1)	six (2)	5:8,11,21;6:6;7:6;15:1;	sunset (1)	7:24
26:23	6:20;14:4	17:6;23:14;24:10;28:4;	4:18	temporary (3)
sell (2)	size (4)	29:15;30:16,20;31:17;	suppliers (1)	3:8;4:13,16
8:9;16:14	11:23;24:23;25:1;	32:6	11:2	Ten (1)
semi-dry (1)	26:24	Staff's (3)	support (7)	36:6
18:14	sizes (1)	3:12;7:23;14:23	7:10;8:6,15;14:23;	tend (1)
semi-truck (1)	25:8	stakeholders (2)	17:10;30:17;31:8	23:18
20:22	slow (3)	4:7;5:17	supporting (1)	term (1)
sense (1)	23:21;25:18;29:17	stamped (1)	19:16	17:18
17:22	slowed (1)	15:23	supportive (2)	terms (1)
sentiment (1)	4:3	standard (6)	7:23;15:12	10:22
37:2	slowing (2)	6:15,16;13:10;18:13;	suppose (1)	terrific (2)
serve (1)	29:8,14	22:10;35:4	27:4	32:3,20
12:12	small (7)	standards (6)	supposed (1)	testing (1)
services (2)	12:3,3;15:15,18;	5:22;6:8,8;8:7;26:10,	24:12	24:10
31:20,21	23:17;26:14;33:22	12	sure (10)	thermal (6)
set (5)	smaller (4)	start (2)	11:15;21:20;24:12,	5:9,13,15;10:18;
3:16;21:12;22:6;	16:4,20;22:5;31:13	6:24;31:15	16;25:6;26:20;34:17;	15:11;16:5
25:7;27:6	smart (2)	started (1)	35:3,5;37:1	thought (2)
settings (1)	27:9;29:6	21:10	surprised (1)	23:2;30:5
21:19	solar (1)	state (2)	33:9	thousand (1)
shaking (1)	10:18	9:5;36:3	Sustainable (1)	36:6
19:10	sold (1)	stated (1)	3:21	three (1)
share (1)	6:13	25:21	sweeper-arm (1)	10:16
30:8	Solutions (1)	state-of-the-art (1)	21:16	threshold (1)
sheet (1)	14:21	18:17	swing (1)	31:15
29:24	somebody (2)	step (1)	32:18	tick (1)
shifted (1)	27:3;34:14	30:22	system (19)	4:23
32:22	someone's (1)	steps (2)	4:15;5:12;9:5;11:21;	tighten (1)
shipping (1)	11:16	19:22;24:8	12:10;14:8,8;15:15,16;	31:18
10:23	somewhat (1)	still (1)	16:21;21:13,14;26:7;	tiny (1)
shoot (1)	28:14	11:10	32:22;33:17,19;34:10,	34:10
33:10 Short (4)	sophisticated (1)	stimulus (1)	10,11 Sustance (20)	today (4)
Short (4) 7:17,18,18;34:16	9:17	23:3	Systems (30) 3:6;4:9;5:7,8;7:2;	3:19;14:22;22:13;
side (3)	sorry (2) 17:7;27:18	storage (5)		28:3 told (1)
8:14,14;11:3		5:5;15:2;18:6,7;	8:2,2,9,10,24;10:6,12;	11:8
signed (3)	sort (5) 7:12;15:20;16:7;	20:17 storing (1)	12:8;15:3,5,9,18;16:4; 19:22;21:11;23:17;	tomorrow (1)
6:23;29:24;33:3	22:6;23:2	10:15	28:17,22,22:31:13;	25:17
significant (1)	sources (1)	strategy (1)	32:8,21;33:13,16;36:6	ton (4)
18:17	5:19	8:15	52.0,21,55.15,10,50.0	9:23,24;10:24;35:20
significantly (1)	speak (7)	stretch (1)	Т	tons (2)
12:19	6:21,22;10:8;17:14;	20:7	*	12:2,6
silo (2)	19:7;30:2;33:4	strict (1)	talk (3)	top (1)
18:6;21:16	speaker (5)	6:9	13:7,15;19:11	11:11
silos (2)	8:19;14:16;17:11,14;	stringency (1)	tangentially (1)	topics (1)
21:16,17	18:18	15:17	30:12	30:8
similar (2)	speakers (1)	stringent (1)	tank (3)	total (1)
18:6;37:2	32:13	13:4	11:10,12,19	4:14
simple (3)	specialist (1)	structure (1)	Tanya (1)	tough (1)
26:13;33:24;35:9	27:22	20:19	3:20	8:9
simpler (1)	specialize (2)	submit (2)	target (1)	towards (1)
33:17	8:23;27:23	7:21;37:8	7:7	36:19
sit (1)	specific (1)	substantive (1)	technical (1)	town (2)
32:10	27:6	3:10	15:21	12:10;34:7

DE 13-270 RENEWADI	LE ENERGY INCENTIVI	L PROGRAM FUR U &	I BULK FUEL-FED WO	OD PELLET CENTRAL
		HEATING SYSTEMS		
trademarked (2)	32:21	what's (1)	10th (1)	
17:15;24:3	utilize (2)	32:7	3:14	6
traditional (1)	21:9,11	whenever (1)	1250-kilowatt (2)	
24:24	utilized (1)	31:16	12:12,17	6,000- (1)
trailer (1)	10:20	Whereupon (1)	13-298 (1)	11:12
12:6	V	37:12	3:4 12 mlars (1)	
transformation (1) 31:10	• •	whole (7) 11:21;12:12;16:7,12,	13-plus (1) 10:1	8
tremendous (1)	Valkenburgh (6)	16;23:5;26:16	150 (1)	
32:15	20:10,12;21:2;26:19;	Wiesner (6)	20:3	80 (2)
tremendously (2)	34:3,4	3:15,17,18;36:18,20;	150-kilowatt (2)	35:22,24
16:18;31:6	value (7)	37:5	33:13,19	
tries (1)	7:8;8:10;13:24;	wishes (1)	16 (1)	
10:14	28:18;29:19;33:23;	36:12	12:2	
truck (11)	35:15	without (2)	16.4 (1)	
9:7;11:8,17,23;12:6;	value-added (2)	18:16;35:22	9:23	
18:2,4;19:4,5;21:23,24	14:6,13	wonder (1)		-
trucking (3)	Van (6)	26:13	2	
9:4;11:24;21:22	20:10,12;21:2;26:19;	wondering (1)		-
truckload (1)	34:3,4	26:2	2:55 (1)	
15:6	various (1)	Wood (10)	37:13	
trucks (4)	34:21	3:6,24;4:9;5:20;	200 (1)	
12:1,4,8;22:23	verbal (3)	10:14;13:6,11;14:22;	11:10	
try (1)	33:6;36:14;37:4	19:5;26:2	200,000 (4)	
9:3	verification (2)	wood-fired (1)	5:7;15:9;16:6;20:1	
trying (1)	5:15;34:15	18:11	2009-2010 (1)	
26:7	verify (1)	woods (2)	30:14	
turning (1)	34:14	14:2,3	2016 (2)	
3:14	Vice-president (1)	word (1)	3:7,14	
two (5)	7:18	29:17	25 (1)	
10:15;31:16;32:11; 34:6;36:9	volume (1) 28:23	workload (1) 32:15	24:17	
54.0,50.9 type (2)		worthwhile (1)	2500 (3)	
18:10;21:16	volumes (1) 8:11	29:20	5:14;15:14,24 27th (1)	
types (1)	volunteers (1)	written (5)	3:7	
	volunteers (1)		5.7	
28.9	34.20	3.13.7.21.15.19		_
28:9 typical (2)	34:20	3:13;7:21;15:19; 36:16:37:9	3	-
typical (2)		3:13;7:21;15:19; 36:16;37:9	3	-
	34:20 W	36:16;37:9		-
typical (2)	W		30 (7)	-
typical (2) 20:23;35:12		36:16;37:9		-
typical (2) 20:23;35:12	W wait (2)	36:16;37:9 Y	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22;	-
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9	-
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23;	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12;	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3;	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19;	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10,	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3;	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1) 9:9	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23 used (3)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6 week (2)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1 1 (2)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1 1 (2) 6:12;18:15	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1) 9:9 5	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23 used (3) 10:6;32:9;33:21	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6 week (2) 36:17;37:9	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1 1 (2)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1) 9:9	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23 used (3) 10:6;32:9;33:21 users (1)	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 way (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6 week (2) 36:17;37:9 weren't (2)	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1 1 (2) 6:12;18:15 1:00 (1)	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1) 9:9 5 500,000 (2)	
typical (2) 20:23;35:12 U unclear (1) 19:14 under (2) 24:19;27:9 undertaking (1) 17:2 up (22) 4:14,23;5:18;6:23; 17:17;20:11;21:12; 22:6,8,16;25:4,7;27:3; 31:3,18;32:17;33:3,10, 18;35:3,18;36:9 update (1) 23:22 use (3) 8:11;10:18;28:23 used (3) 10:6;32:9;33:21 users (1) 8:11	W wait (2) 19:11;29:21 waiting (1) 10:19 warranted (1) 30:22 water (2) 8:24;10:21 waters (1) 29:7 vay (11) 4:23;16:23;20:19; 24:12;25:9;27:10;31:3; 33:24;34:17;35:6,9 Wayland (1) 3:20 ways (1) 21:6 week (2) 36:17;37:9 weren't (2) 22:23;26:9	36:16;37:9 Y year (3) 4:6;32:11;35:13 years (3) 11:8;22:22;31:16 Z zero (1) 8:23 0 01 (1) 26:23 1 1 (2) 6:12;18:15 1:00 (1) 26:9	30 (7) 9:14;10:10;12:6,22; 13:23;24:19;27:9 30-percent (1) 18:9 32 (1) 6:11 362-F (1) 19:24 37 (1) 9:21 4 40 (2) 4:14;30:19 48 (1) 9:9 5 500,000 (2)	

Public Comment Hearing - June 3, 2016